| 1 | THE AGUILERA LAW GROUP, APLO A. Eric Aguilera (SBN 192390) | J S - 6 | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | Kimberly R. Arnal (SBN 200448) | | |
| 3 | Aaron M. Daniels (SBN 279681) | | |
| 4 | 23046 Avenida De La Carlota, Suite 300 | | |
| 5 | Laguna Hills, CA 92653 T: 714.384-6600 / F: 714.384.6601 | | |
| 6 | eaguilera@aguileragroup.com | | |
| | karnal@aguileragroup.com | | |
| 7 | adaniels@aguileragroup.com | | |
| 8 | alg@aguileragroup.com | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Travelers Property Casualty Company of America | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF | Case No. 8:22-cv-00930-SSS-KESx | |
| 14 | AMERICA, a Connecticut corporation. | JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF | |
| 15 | Plaintiff, | TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF | |
| 16 | v. | AMERICA AGAINST LIBERTY | |
| 17 | LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al., | MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY | |
| 18 | Defendants | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | <u>JUDGMENT</u> | I | |
| 21 | It appearing from the files and records in this action that: | | |
| 22 | 1. On February 19, 2025, the Court entered an order (Docket No. 89) | | |
| 23 | awarding summary judgment to Plaintiff Travelers Property Casualty Company of | | |
| 24 | America ("Travelers") against Defendant Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company | | |
| 25 | ("Liberty") ruling that 1) Travelers did not have a duty to defend Turner Construction | | |
| 26 | | action of T-12 Three, LLC v. Turner | |

Case No. 8:22-cv-00930-SSS (KESx)

Construction Company, et al., Case No. 30-2011-00514568-CU-SU-CXC filed in the

27

28

| 1 | Superior Court of California for the County of Orange (the "Underlying Action") | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | under the 2006-2008 policies issued by Travelers; 2) Travelers did not have a duty to | |
| 3 | defend Turner in the Underlying Action under the 2012-2018 policies issued by | |
| 4 | Travelers; and 3) Travelers is entitled to equitable contribution and equitable | |
| 5 | indemnity from Liberty because it did not have a duty to defend Turner, and | |
| 6 | 2. In the same order, the Court denied Liberty's Motion for Partial | |
| 7 | Summary Judgment, | |
| 8 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that: | |
| 9 | 1. Travelers owes no duty to defend Turner Construction in the Underlying | |
| 10 | Action. Travelers is entitled to reimbursement from Liberty in the amount of | |
| 11 | \$2,186,359.76, plus costs and disbursements in the amount of \$ TBD, together with | |
| 12 | interest thereon as provided by statute from the date of entry of this judgment; and | |
| 13 | 2. Counterclaimant Liberty shall have no recovery against Travelers. | |
| 14 | Λ | |
| 15 | Dated: March 4, 2025 | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | SUNSHINE S. SYKES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE | |
| 18 | CIVITED STATES DISTRICT COOKT JODGE | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 2728 | | |
| 40 | | |